

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/749,749	Applicant(s) FUKUOKA ET AL.	
	Examiner Dawn Garrett	Art Unit 1774	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dawn Garrett. (3) _____.

(2) Stefan Koschmieder. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


DAWN GARRETT
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative approved the attached examiner's amendment to cancel claim 27, which was dependent upon cancelled claim 10. The examiner asked applicant's representative to verify the references for consideration listed on the most recently submitted Information Disclosure Statement. The examiner noted that while the cover page for the IDS listed the correct serial number of the application, the PTO-1449 form listed an incorrect serial number. Applicant's representative verified the references listed were intended to be considered for 09/749,749. The examiner indicated she would write the correct serial number at the top of the PTO-1449.